

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

TOMAHAWK MANUFACTURING, INC.,
and FORMTEC, LLC,

Plaintiffs,

SUPERIOR INDUSTRIES, INC.,
SUPERIOR INDUSTRIES HOLDINGS
LLC, CHRISTOPHER MICHAEL
DUGGAN, and WILL STEVEN HARDY,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:23-cv-01007-APG-NJK

REDACTED

TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

[ECF No. 2]

10 Plaintiffs Tomahawk Manufacturing, Inc. and Formtec, LLC moved for an *ex parte* and
11 emergency temporary restraining order (TRO) barring the defendants from, among other things,
12 filing a non-provisional patent application incorporating the Plaintiffs' trade secrets and
13 otherwise disclosing the Plaintiffs' trade secrets. ECF No. 2. I set the motion for a hearing on
14 Thursday, July 6, 2023 and ordered the papers to be served on the defendants. ECF No. 17. The
15 plaintiffs filed proof that they sent the papers by email, personal service, and Federal Express.
16 ECF Nos. 23, 33-37. None of the defendants appeared at the hearing. I went forward with the
17 hearing due to the need to protect the Plaintiffs' trade secrets and confidential information.

The Plaintiffs argue that they have protectible rights in

19 [REDACTED] (“Trade Secrets”). The Plaintiffs obtained an award from a
20 panel of the American Arbitration Association recognizing the Plaintiffs’ rights in those Trade
21 Secrets. They contend that the defendants have infringed on their rights by using the Trade
22 Secrets in a provisional patent application that was filed on July 11, 2022. The Plaintiffs seek to
23 block the defendants from filing a non-provisional patent application using the Trade Secrets.

1 During the TRO hearing, I placed on the record my findings under the factors listed in
2 *Winter v. Natural Resources Defense Council*, 555 U.S. 7 (2008). The Plaintiffs are likely to
3 succeed on the merits of their claims that the defendants have improperly used the Trade Secrets
4 in connection with the defendants' provisional patent application. The disclosure of the
5 Plaintiffs' Trade Secrets will cause irreparable harm to the Plaintiffs. The Defend Trade Secrets
6 Act (18 U.S.C. § 1836) and relevant state trade secret laws recognize the value of such
7 confidential information and the need to protect it. The public interest favors a narrow injunction
8 to protect the Plaintiffs' interest in the Trade Secrets.

9 The defendants may suffer harm if they are precluded from filing a non-provisional
10 patent application by July 11, 2023 because they could lose the priority from their July 11, 2022
11 provisional application. The public disclosure of the Trade Secrets would cause irreparable harm
12 to the Plaintiffs, but it appears that the provisional application will not be made public until
13 January 11, 2024. If necessary, I can order the defendants to withdraw their patent applications
14 sufficiently ahead of that date to avoid publication and the Plaintiffs' irreparable harm. The
15 balance of hardships favors a narrow injunction temporarily barring the defendants from
16 publicizing, using, or transferring the Trade Secrets while the parties quickly litigate their rights
17 to it. Thus, I will enjoin the defendants from further use or dissemination of the Trade Secrets,
18 but I will not bar them from filing a non-provisional patent application at this time.

19 I therefore order that the Plaintiffs' motion for a temporary restraining order (**ECF No. 2**)
20 **is granted in part**, as set forth below.

21 I further order the defendants to preserve all documents and evidence related to (1) their
22 patent applications and proceedings, and (2) the Plaintiffs' Trade Secrets as defined above.
23

1 I further order the defendants to refrain from using, disclosing, disseminating,
2 publicizing, or transferring the Plaintiffs' Trade Secrets, except for filing a non-provisional
3 patent application before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, until further order from the
4 court. If the defendants file a non-provisional patent application, they must simultaneously serve
5 a copy of that application on the Plaintiffs' counsel.

6 I further order the plaintiffs to immediately serve on the defendants, by hand-delivery and
7 email, a copy of this order. The plaintiffs will file with the court proof of such service by July
8 11, 2023.

9 I will conduct a hearing on the Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction (ECF No. 3)
10 on **Thursday, July 18, 2023 at 1:30 p.m.** in Las Vegas Courtroom 6C. Any party or counsel
11 wishing to appear by Zoom will contact my courtroom administrator, Melissa Johansen, by email
12 at Melissa.Johansen@nvd.uscourts.gov to obtain credentials to do so.

13 In preparation for that hearing, I order the defendants to produce to the Plaintiffs' counsel
14 the following documents **by July 14, 2023:**

15 a. Copies of all filings and correspondence with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office by
16 or on behalf of any of the defendants relating to the Trade Secrets, in the past 12 months; and
17 b. Documents evidencing any communications between any of the defendants or anyone
18 on their behalf with James Wolff relating to the Trade Secrets or use of them, in the past 12
19 months.

20 I further order the Plaintiffs to post with the court a bond in the amount of \$1,000, as
21 required under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65(c).

22 ENTERED THIS 6th day of July, 2023 at 6:16 p.m., nunc pro tunc to 5:00 p.m.
23


Andrew P. Gordon
United States District Court